

CONCEPT	ELEMENTS AND TYPES	DEFINITION	APPLICATION/EXCEPTION/LIMITATION
A. Governing Law / Introduction	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Common Law 2. UCC Article 2 3. Hybrid Cases (Sale of goods + services) 	<p>Governing framework for contract law.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UCC governs sales of goods (movable items) • Common law governs all other contracts (services, real property, land, intangibles) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UCC trumps common law where it applies. • Common law applies to UCC gaps unless contradicted. • Hybrid contract rule: Predominate purpose test determines applicable law based on contract language, supplier's primary business, and relative values.
B. Offer	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Outward manifestation (oral, written, conduct) 2. Signal that acceptance will conclude deal 3. Special Types: Commercial Advertisements, Reward Offers 4. Termination methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Lapse</i> - <i>Death/Incapacity</i>, - <i>Revocation</i> - <i>Rejection</i> 	<p>A party's communication creating the power of acceptance in the offeree.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commercial ads are generally invitations for offers under the American Rule, except where language specifies a limited quantity/first-come basis. • Revocation requires communication before acceptance (direct or indirect via reliable source). • Options, firm offers, and detrimental reliance prevent revocation. • Counteroffers terminate original offers except mere inquiries.

C. Acceptance	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Common Law Acceptance (Mirror Image Rule) 2. Unilateral K Acceptance 3. Acceptance by Mail (Mailbox Rule) 4. UCC Acceptance by Shipment 5. UCC 2-207 Battle of the Forms 	<p>An offeree's assent to the terms of the offer in an authorized manner.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Common law requires mirror image of terms. • Mailbox Rule makes acceptance effective upon proper dispatch (does not apply to option contracts or after sending rejection). • Under UCC 2-207, non-conforming acceptance creates a contract unless expressly conditional on assent to additional/different terms. • Between merchants, additional terms join contract unless limited by offer, objected to, or materially alter.
D. Consideration	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bargained-for Exchange (Majority/Restatement Rule) 2. Benefit/Detriment Test (Minority Rule) 3. Legal Detriment Test 4. Exceptions: Past/Moral Consideration, Promissory Estoppel 	<p>A bargained-for exchange of legal value (goods, services, forbearance, or promise) required to make a promise enforceable.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequacy of consideration is not policed by courts. • Gratuitous promises are unenforceable unless executed. • Illusory promises and preexisting duties are insufficient. • Promissory estoppel serves as a substitute requiring promise, foreseeable reliance, actual reliance, and injustice without enforcement.

<p>E. Statute of Frauds (SOF)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MYLEGS categories: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Marriage</i> - <i>Year performance,</i> - <i>Land</i> - <i>Executor</i> - <i>Guarantee/Surety ship</i> - <i>Sale of Goods (\$500+)</i> 2. Satisfaction: Writing, Signature, Tacking 3. 3. Work-arounds: Part Performance, Promissory Estoppel 4. 4. UCC SOF Rules 	<p>A rule requiring certain categories of contracts to be evidenced by a signed writing to be enforceable.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceptions to guarantee category include main purpose rule and debtor discharge. • UCC SOF can be satisfied by signed writing with quantity, merchant confirmation (no objection in 10 days), judicial admission, part performance, or specially manufactured goods.
<p>F. Gap Fillers</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UCC Implied Warranties: Title, Merchantability, Fitness for Particular Purpose 2. UCC Express Warranties 3. UCC Missing Terms: Price, Time, Place 4. 4. Obligation of Good Faith and Fair Dealing 5. 5. Output and Requirement Contracts 	<p>Default statutory or common law rules applied to supply omitted terms in a contract.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warranties can be disclaimed or modified by specific language or circumstances (e.g., 'as is'). • Good faith requires honesty in fact, plus observance of reasonable commercial standards for merchants. • Quantity terms in output/requirement contracts are constrained by good faith.
<p>G. Interpreting Ambiguous Language</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objective Meaning Rule 2. Contra Proferentem 3. Doctrine of 	<p>Legal rules for determining the meaning of ambiguous or disputed contract terms.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objective meaning controls unless one party knows the other's subjective meaning or both share identical subjective

	Reasonable Expectations		<p>meanings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contra proferentem construes ambiguous language against the drafter. • Reasonable expectations doctrine defeats inconsistent boilerplate language primarily in adhesion or insurance contracts.
H. Trade Usage, Course of Dealing, Course of Performance	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Course of Performance 2. Course of Dealing 3. Usage of Trade 	Extrinsic context and industry standards used to fill gaps and resolve contract ambiguities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Admissible to supplement terms or explain ambiguities • Inadmissible to contradict express terms • Order of priority in case of conflict: Course of Performance > Course of Dealing > Trade Usage.
I. Parol Evidence Rule (PE)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Partial Integration 2. Complete Integration 3. Merger Clauses 	Rule governing the admissibility of prior or contemporaneous oral/written evidence to alter or supplement a written contract.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PE does not apply to subsequent agreements, collateral agreements, or evidence challenging validity (fraud, duress, mistake, oral conditions precedent). • Extrinsic evidence is admissible to explain/interpret, or under UCC to supplement fully integrated contracts with course of dealing/trade usage.

J. Obligations Under UCC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seller/Buyer Obligations 2. Carrier Cases: Shipment Contract (FOB Seller), Destination Contract (FOB Buyer) 3. Non-Carrier Cases: Merchant vs. Non-Merchant 	<p>Rules dictating performance obligations and risk of loss (ROL) allocation between buyer and seller.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In shipment contracts, ROL shifts to buyer upon delivery to carrier. • In destination contracts, ROL shifts upon tender at destination. • In non- carrier cases, merchant seller retains ROL until physical possession by buyer; non-merchant seller transfers ROL upon tender.
K. Modification	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Common Law Modification (Pre-existing Duty Rule) 2. Common Law Exceptions: Mutual modification, Unforeseen circumstances 3. UCC Modification 	<p>An agreement to change the terms of an existing contract.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under common law, modifications require fresh consideration unless an exception applies. • Under UCC Article 2, modifications need no consideration if made in good faith.
L. Excusing Performance Due to Faulty Assumptions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unilateral Mistake 2. Mutual Mistake 3. Impossibility 4. Impracticability 5. Frustration of Purpose 	<p>Legal doctrines discharging a party's duty to perform due to erroneous assumptions or post-formation unexpected events.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unilateral mistake is not excused unless the non-mistaken party knew/had reason to know or there was a serious clerical error. • Mutual mistake requires basic material assumption, and disadvantaged party did not bear risk. • Impossibility requires objective impossibility. • Impracticability requires unforeseen events making performance prohibitively expensive or burdensome.

M. Excusing Performance by Agreement	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rescission 2. Accord, and 3. Satisfaction 	<p>Discharge of contractual duties by mutual agreement of the parties.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rescission requires mutual assent and unperformed duties on both sides (consideration). • Accord requires an agreement for substituted performance supported by consideration. • Satisfaction is performance of the accord. • Accord alone suspends original duty; full discharge requires both.
N. Anticipatory Repudiation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Express Repudiation 2. Voluntary Act Repudiation 3. Demand for Adequate Assurances 4. Aggrieved Party Remedies & Retraction 	<p>An unequivocal manifestation by a party before performance is due indicating they will not perform.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If reasonable grounds for insecurity exist, a party can demand written assurances and suspend performance. • Failure to respond (within 30 days under UCC) constitutes repudiation. • Repudiation can be retracted until the non-breaching party relies, accepts, or sues.

O. Conditions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Express Conditions 2. Implied Conditions 3. Exceptions: Waiver, Bad Faith, Gross Forfeiture 4. Material Breach vs. Substantial Performance 	<p>An event not certain to occur that must occur before performance under a contract becomes due.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Express conditions require strict compliance unless excused by waiver, bad faith, or gross forfeiture. • Implied conditions under common law follow the substantial performance doctrine (minor breach allows damages but no discharge; material breach discharges duty).
P. Failure of Condition Under UCC & Defenses	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Perfect Tender Rule 2. Buyer Remedies: Reject, Accept, Accept/Reject Part 3. Seller Right to Cure 4. Installment Contract Exceptions 5. Contract Defenses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Infancy - Mental Incompetency - Misrepresentation - Duress - Undue Influence - Unconscionability - Public Policy/Illegality 	<p>UCC rules governing non-conforming tender alongside general legal defenses that render a contract void or voidable.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under UCC, buyer can reject goods for any non-conformity unless seller cures or in installment contracts where non-conformity must substantially impair the contract/installment value. • Contract defenses negate enforceability based on incapacity, defective assent, unfair process/terms, or illegality.

Q. Remedies	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Common Law Monetary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Expectation - Reliance, - Restitutionary - Liquidated Damages 2. UCC Remedies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Seller Remedies (Lost Volume), - Buyer Remedies (Cover), Incidental & Consequential Damages 3. Equitable Remedies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Specific Performance - Negative Injunction 4. Quasi-Contract / Unjust Enrichment 	<p>Judicial awards or legal relief designed to compensate an aggrieved party for breach of contract.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expectation damages are default but limited by foreseeability, certainty, and mitigation. • Specific performance is limited to unique goods/real property where monetary damages are inadequate and unavailable for personal services. • Liquidated damages are unenforceable if deemed a penalty.
R. Third-Party Beneficiaries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intended Beneficiaries (Creditor Beneficiary, Donee Beneficiary) 2. Incidental Beneficiaries 3. Vesting of Rights 	<p>A non-party who receives a benefit from a contract made by others.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only intended beneficiaries have standing to sue. Rights vest when beneficiary files suit, detrimentally relies, assents at request of parties, or under express contract terms. • Once vested, original contracting parties cannot modify or rescind without consent.

<p>S. Assignment of Rights</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gratuitous Assignment 2. Assignment for Value 3. Assignee Rights & Defenses 	<p>A present transfer of a contractual right to receive performance from an obligor to an assignee.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rights are assignable unless prohibited by contract/law, or materially alters obligor's duty. • Gratuitous assignments are generally revocable unless executed; assignments for value are irrevocable. • Assignee steps into shoes of assignor and is subject to obligor's defenses.
---------------------------------------	---	--	---

T. Delegation of Duties	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Delegable Duties 2. Obligee vs. Delegator Rights 3. Delegatee Liability 	<p>A transaction where a contracting party (delegator) transfers a duty of performance to a third party (delegatee).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Duties are delegable unless personal services or contract expressly prohibits. • Delegation does not relieve delegator of liability absent a novation. • If delegation is supported by consideration, obligee can sue delegatee as an intended third-party beneficiary.
--------------------------------	--	--	---

Source: Kaplan Bar Review - 1L Essentials